



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,327	07/25/2003	James P. Richmond	ENB-008/(E00378/70189)	2282

959 7590 06/02/2008  
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP  
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE  
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER
----------

SEYE, ABDOU K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2194

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/627,327	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RICHMOND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Abdou Karim Seye	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mathew Fagan. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Abdou Karim Seye. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Miyake et al (US 6732170).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the rejection of claim 1 with regard to Miyake et al (US 6732170) about the claimed element" specifying the first element only once", but no agreement was reach. Applicant agrees to amend claim 1 to clarify the claimed invention and will submit a formal response to the previous non final office action sent on 11/27/2007. Examiner will respond accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meng-Ai An/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required